Paraphrase of Herreman’s critique by David Bade: “His [Herreman’s, ed.] basic complaints are the same that have been levelled at Harris for 35 years: 1) Harris is good at criticism but hunting for and identifying “the language myth” offers nothing positive that would contribute to a science of language, and 2) Harris himself uses concepts which (he insists) can only be arrived at on the basis of descriptions which (he insists) can only be produced by establishing terms which means that Harris contradicts integrationism himself by creating his own fixed code (Søren Lund’s complaint as well), and 3) the principles or axioms of integrational semiology are incapable of generating scientific knowledge.”